

Covariant Riesz-Transforms and the Calderon-Zygmund inequality

Batu Güneysu (Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin)

Interfaces between Geometric Analysis and Mathematical
Physics

May 8, 2018

- M : complete Riemannian m -manifold
- $d : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma_{C^\infty}(T^*M)$: exterior derivative on functions
- $d_1 : \Gamma_{C^\infty}(T^*M) \rightarrow \Gamma_{C^\infty}(\wedge^2 T^*M)$: exterior derivative on 1-forms
- $T^{r,s}M \rightarrow M$: r -times contravariant, s -times covariant tensors
- $\Delta = d^\dagger d$: Laplace-Beltrami-Operator in $L^2(M)$ (e.s.a.!)
- $\Delta_1 = d_1^\dagger d_1 + dd^\dagger$: Laplace-Beltrami-Operator in $\Gamma_{L^2}(T^*M)$ (e.s.a.!)
- $\nabla^{r,s} : \Gamma_{C^\infty}(T^{r,s}M) \rightarrow \Gamma_{C^\infty}(T^{r,s+1}M)$: Levi-Civita (LC) connection
- μ : Riemannian volume measure

- Let $1 < p < \infty$. The aim of the talk is to explain the connection between path integrals and the L^p -boundedness of the **covariant Riesz-transform** $CRT(p)$,

$$\boxed{\forall \lambda > 0 : \left\| \nabla^{0,1}(\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} \right\|_p < \infty.} \quad (1)$$

- The L^p -boundedness of the 'usual Riesz-transform' $RT(p)$,

$$\left\| d_1(\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} \right\|_p < \infty \quad (2)$$

only needs $\text{Ric} \geq -C$ for some $C > 0$ and is a (by now) classical result by Bakry (1987).

- Proving $CRT(p)$ should be considerably harder than proving $RT(p)$, essentially because the Laplace-Beltrami operator commutes with the exterior differential, but not with the LC connection. In fact, $CRT(p) \Rightarrow RT(p)$ easily.

- Let $1 < p < \infty$. The aim of the talk is to explain the connection between path integrals and the L^p -boundedness of the **covariant Riesz-transform** $CRT(p)$,

$$\boxed{\forall \lambda > 0 : \left\| \nabla^{0,1}(\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} \right\|_p < \infty.} \quad (1)$$

- The L^p -boundedness of the 'usual Riesz-transform' $RT(p)$,

$$\left\| d_1(\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} \right\|_p < \infty \quad (2)$$

only needs $\text{Ric} \geq -C$ for some $C > 0$ and is a (by now) classical result by Bakry (1987).

- Proving $CRT(p)$ should be considerably harder than proving $RT(p)$, essentially because the Laplace-Beltrami operator commutes with the exterior differential, but not with the LC connection. In fact, $CRT(p) \Rightarrow RT(p)$ easily.

- Let $1 < p < \infty$. The aim of the talk is to explain the connection between path integrals and the L^p -boundedness of the **covariant Riesz-transform** $CRT(p)$,

$$\boxed{\forall \lambda > 0 : \left\| \nabla^{0,1}(\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} \right\|_p < \infty.} \quad (1)$$

- The L^p -boundedness of the 'usual Riesz-transform' $RT(p)$,

$$\left\| d_1(\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} \right\|_p < \infty \quad (2)$$

only needs $\text{Ric} \geq -C$ for some $C > 0$ and is a (by now) classical result by Bakry (1987).

- Proving $CRT(p)$ should be considerably harder than proving $RT(p)$, essentially because the Laplace-Beltrami operator commutes with the exterior differential, but not with the LC connection. In fact, $CRT(p) \Rightarrow RT(p)$ easily.

- $CRT(p)$ plays a fundamental role in geometric analysis:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\text{Hess}(f)\|_p &= \|\nabla^{0,1} d_1 f\|_p \\
 &= \left\| \nabla^{0,1} (\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} d_1 (\Delta + \lambda)^{-1/2} (\Delta + \lambda) f \right\|_p \\
 &\leq \left\| \nabla^{0,1} (\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} \right\|_p \left\| d_1 (\Delta + \lambda)^{-1/2} \right\|_p (\|\Delta f\|_p + \lambda \|f\|_p), \\
 &\Rightarrow \boxed{\|\text{Hess}(f)\|_p \leq C(\|\Delta f\|_p + \|f\|_p)},
 \end{aligned}$$

the L^p -**Calderon-Zygmund inequality** $CZ(p)$.

- $CZ(2)$ is easily seen to hold under $\text{Ric} \geq -C$, and is false in general (G./Pigola, 2015).
- For $p \neq 2$ the inequality $CZ(p)$ is nontrivial even in \mathbb{R}^m ; the best result so far at a full L^p -scale is under $|\text{Ric}| \leq C$ and $\text{inj}(M) > 0$ (G./Pigola, 2015).

- $CRT(p)$ plays a fundamental role in geometric analysis:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\text{Hess}(f)\|_p &= \|\nabla^{0,1} d_1 f\|_p \\
 &= \left\| \nabla^{0,1} (\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} d_1 (\Delta + \lambda)^{-1/2} (\Delta + \lambda) f \right\|_p \\
 &\leq \left\| \nabla^{0,1} (\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} \right\|_p \left\| d_1 (\Delta + \lambda)^{-1/2} \right\|_p (\|\Delta f\|_p + \lambda \|f\|_p), \\
 &\Rightarrow \boxed{\|\text{Hess}(f)\|_p \leq C(\|\Delta f\|_p + \|f\|_p)},
 \end{aligned}$$

the L^p -**Calderon-Zygmund inequality** $CZ(p)$.

- $CZ(2)$ is easily seen to hold under $\text{Ric} \geq -C$, and is false in general (G./Pigola, 2015).
- For $p \neq 2$ the inequality $CZ(p)$ is nontrivial even in \mathbb{R}^m ; the best result so far at a full L^p -scale is under $|\text{Ric}| \leq C$ and $\text{inj}(M) > 0$ (G./Pigola, 2015).

Typical applications of $CZ(p)$:

- $CZ(p)$ (& a little bit of extra work) implies the global Sobolev inequality

$$\|\text{Hess}(f)\|_p + \|df\|_p \leq C \|\Delta f\|_p + C \|f\|_p.$$

- $CZ(p)$ (& $|\text{Riem}| \leq C$ & some extra work) implies $|\text{Hess}(f)|, |df| \in L^p(M)$ for weak solutions $f \in L^p(M)$ of the Poisson equation $\Delta f = h$, where $h \in L^p(M)$.
- Once one has $CZ(p)$ with a constant depending only on geometric quantities ($\text{Ric}, \text{inj}, \dots$), one can use it to prove L^p -precompactness results for sequences of Riemannian immersions $\Psi_n : M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$: then $\Delta \Psi_n$ is essentially the mean curvature of ψ_n and $\text{Hess}(\Psi_n)$ its second fundamental form!

Typical applications of $CZ(p)$:

- $CZ(p)$ (& a little bit of extra work) implies the global Sobolev inequality

$$\|\text{Hess}(f)\|_p + \|df\|_p \leq C \|\Delta f\|_p + C \|f\|_p.$$

- $CZ(p)$ (& $|\text{Riem}| \leq C$ & some extra work) implies $|\text{Hess}(f)|, |df| \in L^p(M)$ for weak solutions $f \in L^p(M)$ of the Poisson equation $\Delta f = h$, where $h \in L^p(M)$.
- Once one has $CZ(p)$ with a constant depending only on geometric quantities ($\text{Ric}, \text{inj}, \dots$), one can use it to prove L^p -precompactness results for sequences of Riemannian immersions $\Psi_n : M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$: then $\Delta \Psi_n$ is essentially the mean curvature of ψ_n and $\text{Hess}(\Psi_n)$ its second fundamental form!

Typical applications of $CZ(p)$:

- $CZ(p)$ (& a little bit of extra work) implies the global Sobolev inequality

$$\|\text{Hess}(f)\|_p + \|df\|_p \leq C \|\Delta f\|_p + C \|f\|_p.$$

- $CZ(p)$ (& $|\text{Riem}| \leq C$ & some extra work) implies $|\text{Hess}(f)|, |df| \in L^p(M)$ for weak solutions $f \in L^p(M)$ of the Poisson equation $\Delta f = h$, where $h \in L^p(M)$.
- Once one has $CZ(p)$ with a constant depending only on geometric quantities ($\text{Ric}, \text{inj}, \dots$), one can use it to prove L^p -precompactness results for sequences of Riemannian immersions $\Psi_n : M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$: then $\Delta \Psi_n$ is essentially the mean curvature of ψ_n and $\text{Hess}(\Psi_n)$ its second fundamental form!

How can we prove $CRT(p)$? Approach: reduce $CRT(p)$ to estimates for the heat semigroup on 1-forms, so that we can use probability theory.

Indeed:

- In view of the Laplace-transform

$$\nabla^{0,1}(\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} = \int_0^\infty \nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} t^{-1/2} e^{-t\lambda} dt$$

and a highly sophisticated machinery from harmonic analysis on metric measure spaces by

Auscher/Couhlon/Doung/Hofmann (2004), the estimate $CRT(p)$ follows from the semigroup estimate $SG(p)$

$$\boxed{\exists C > 0 \forall t > 0 : \left\| \nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} \right\|_{p,p} \leq C e^{Ct} t^{-1/2}.}$$

- Whatever it is, this machinery should be sophisticated:

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty \nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} t^{-1/2} e^{-t\lambda} dt \right\|_{p,p} \leq C \int_0^\infty e^{Ct} t^{-1} dt = \infty \dots$$

Indeed:

- In view of the Laplace-transform

$$\nabla^{0,1}(\Delta_1 + \lambda)^{-1/2} = \int_0^\infty \nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} t^{-1/2} e^{-t\lambda} dt$$

and a highly sophisticated machinery from harmonic analysis on metric measure spaces by

Auscher/Coulhon/Doung/Hofmann (2004), the estimate $CRT(p)$ follows from the semigroup estimate $SG(p)$

$$\boxed{\exists C > 0 \forall t > 0 : \left\| \nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} \right\|_{p,p} \leq C e^{Ct} t^{-1/2}.}$$

- Whatever it is, this machinery should be sophisticated:

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty \nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} t^{-1/2} e^{-t\lambda} dt \right\|_{p,p} \leq C \int_0^\infty e^{Ct} t^{-1} dt = \infty \dots$$

- Is there a probabilistic formula for $\nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1}$ which is explicit enough to prove $SG(p)$?
- Some hope: there are probabilistic path integral formulae for $e^{-t\Delta_1}$ ('covariant Feynman-Kac formula'), and for $d_1 e^{-t\Delta_1}$ by Bismut (1984), Elworthy/Li (1998), and Thalmaier (1997) ('BELT formula').

- (Ω, \mathbb{P}) : a probability space. Notation: $\mathbb{E}[\dots] = \int \dots d\mathbb{P}$.
- $X(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow M$: Brownian motion (BM) starting from $x \in M$; paths $X(x)(\omega) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ continuous, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k$, $A_1, \dots, A_k \subset M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{X_{t_1}(x) \in A_1, \dots, X_{t_k}(x) \in A_k\} = \\ \int_{A_1} \dots \int_{A_k} e^{-t_1 \Delta}(x, x_1) e^{-(t_2 - t_1) \Delta}(x_1, x_2) \\ \dots e^{-(t_k - t_{k-1}) \Delta}(x_{k-1}, x_k) d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_k). \end{aligned}$$

- $//^{r,s}(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T_x^{r,s} M, T_{X(x)}^{r,s} M)$: parallel transport along $X(x)$ with respect to $\nabla^{r,s}$
- $Q(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{End}(T_x^* M)$ is defined pathwise by

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_t(x) = -\frac{1}{2} Q_t(x) //_t^{0,1}(x)^{-1} \text{Ric}_{X_t(x)}^T //_t^{0,1}(x), \quad Q_0(x) = \text{id}_{T_x^* M}.$$

- (Ω, \mathbb{P}) : a probability space. Notation: $\mathbb{E}[\dots] = \int \dots d\mathbb{P}$.
- $X(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow M$: Brownian motion (BM) starting from $x \in M$; paths $X(x)(\omega) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ continuous, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k$, $A_1, \dots, A_k \subset M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{X_{t_1}(x) \in A_1, \dots, X_{t_k}(x) \in A_k\} = \\ \int_{A_1} \dots \int_{A_k} e^{-t_1 \Delta}(x, x_1) e^{-(t_2 - t_1) \Delta}(x_1, x_2) \\ \dots e^{-(t_k - t_{k-1}) \Delta}(x_{k-1}, x_k) d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_k). \end{aligned}$$

- $//^{r,s}(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T_x^{r,s} M, T_{X(x)}^{r,s} M)$: parallel transport along $X(x)$ with respect to $\nabla^{r,s}$
- $Q(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{End}(T_x^* M)$ is defined pathwise by

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_t(x) = -\frac{1}{2} Q_t(x) //_t^{0,1}(x)^{-1} \text{Ric}_{X_t(x)}^T //_t^{0,1}(x), \quad Q_0(x) = \text{id}_{T_x^* M}.$$

- (Ω, \mathbb{P}) : a probability space. Notation: $\mathbb{E}[\dots] = \int \dots d\mathbb{P}$.
- $X(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow M$: Brownian motion (BM) starting from $x \in M$; paths $X(x)(\omega) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ continuous, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k$, $A_1, \dots, A_k \subset M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{X_{t_1}(x) \in A_1, \dots, X_{t_k}(x) \in A_k\} = \\ \int_{A_1} \dots \int_{A_k} e^{-t_1 \Delta}(x, x_1) e^{-(t_2 - t_1) \Delta}(x_1, x_2) \\ \dots e^{-(t_k - t_{k-1}) \Delta}(x_{k-1}, x_k) d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_k). \end{aligned}$$

- $//^{r,s}(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T_x^{r,s} M, T_{X(x)}^{r,s} M)$: parallel transport along $X(x)$ with respect to $\nabla^{r,s}$
- $Q(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{End}(T_x^* M)$ is defined pathwise by

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_t(x) = -\frac{1}{2} Q_t(x) //_t^{0,1}(x)^{-1} \text{Ric}_{X_t(x)}^T //_t^{0,1}(x), \quad Q_0(x) = \text{id}_{T_x^* M}.$$

- (Ω, \mathbb{P}) : a probability space. Notation: $\mathbb{E}[\dots] = \int \dots d\mathbb{P}$.
- $X(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow M$: Brownian motion (BM) starting from $x \in M$; paths $X(x)(\omega) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ continuous, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k$, $A_1, \dots, A_k \subset M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{X_{t_1}(x) \in A_1, \dots, X_{t_k}(x) \in A_k\} = \\ \int_{A_1} \dots \int_{A_k} e^{-t_1 \Delta}(x, x_1) e^{-(t_2 - t_1) \Delta}(x_1, x_2) \\ \dots e^{-(t_k - t_{k-1}) \Delta}(x_{k-1}, x_k) d\mu(x_1) \dots d\mu(x_k). \end{aligned}$$

- $//^{r,s}(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T_x^{r,s} M, T_{X(x)}^{r,s} M)$: parallel transport along $X(x)$ with respect to $\nabla^{r,s}$
- $Q(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{End}(T_x^* M)$ is defined pathwise by

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_t(x) = -\frac{1}{2} Q_t(x) //_t^{0,1}(x)^{-1} \text{Ric}_{X_t(x)}^T //_t^{0,1}(x), \quad Q_0(x) = \text{id}_{T_x^* M}.$$

- These processes are precisely the ingredients of the **covariant Feynman-Kac formula** (Malliavin 1978, Driver/Thalmaier 2001, G. 2012)

$$e^{-t\Delta_1}\alpha(x) = \mathbb{E} \left[Q_{t//t}^{0,1}(x)^{-1} \alpha(X_t(x)) \right],$$

valid for all $\alpha \in \Gamma_{C_c^\infty}(T^*M)$, $t \geq 0$, $x \in M$, if Ric is (sufficiently) bounded from below.

- How can one prove the covariant Feynman-Kac formula?
Using some stochastic analysis (Itô's formula) one finds that for fixed $t > 0$, the process

$$Y := Q(x)//^{0,1}(x)^{-1} e^{-(t-\bullet)\Delta_1} \alpha(X(x)) : [0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow T_x^*M,$$

is a so called 'local martingale'.

- These processes are precisely the ingredients of the **covariant Feynman-Kac formula** (Malliavin 1978, Driver/Thalmaier 2001, G. 2012)

$$e^{-t\Delta_1}\alpha(x) = \mathbb{E} \left[Q_{t//t}^{0,1}(x)^{-1} \alpha(X_t(x)) \right],$$

valid for all $\alpha \in \Gamma_{C_c^\infty}(T^*M)$, $t \geq 0$, $x \in M$, if Ric is (sufficiently) bounded from below.

- How can one prove the covariant Feynman-Kac formula? Using some stochastic analysis (Itô's formula) one finds that for fixed $t > 0$, the process

$$Y := Q(x)//^{0,1}(x)^{-1} e^{-(t-\bullet)\Delta_1} \alpha(X(x)) : [0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow T_x^*M,$$

is a so called 'local martingale'.

On the other hand, being a local martingale, Y_s has a constant expectation in $s \in [0, t]$, if

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |Y_s| \right] < \infty,$$

which is the case, as under say $\text{Ric} \geq -C$, we have $|Q_s(x)| \leq e^{Cs}$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. (Gronwall), and

$$\sup_{u \in [0, t], y \in M} |e^{-u\Delta_1} \alpha(y)| < \infty \quad (\text{Kato-Simon}).$$

Therefore:

$$e^{-t\Delta_1} \alpha(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y_0] = \mathbb{E}[Y_t] = \mathbb{E} \left[Q_t(x) //_t^{0,1}(x)^{-1} \alpha(X_t(x)) \right],$$

completing the proof of the covariant Feynman-Kac formula.

Let us now prepare our attack on the path integral for $\nabla^{0,1} e^{t\Delta} \dots$

- Given a continuous process $A : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ and a Euclidean BM $B : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ we can define another continuous process

$$\int_0^\bullet A_s dB_s : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1,$$

the Itô integral, by approximating $\int_0^t A_s dB_s(\omega)$ with 'left-point (!) Lebesgue-Stieltjes Riemann sums' (but the convergence is *not* for \mathbb{P} -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$).

- In general, $\int_0^\bullet A_s dB_s$ will only be local martingale; however, there is the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, which states that for all $q \in [1, \infty)$, there exists $C_q < \infty$ s.t. for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^s A_s dB_s \right|^q \right] \leq C_q \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t |A_s|^2 ds \right)^{q/2} \right] \in [0, \infty].$$

- Given a continuous process $A : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ and a Euclidean BM $B : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ we can define another continuous process

$$\int_0^\bullet A_s dB_s : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1,$$

the Itô integral, by approximating $\int_0^t A_s dB_s(\omega)$ with 'left-point (!) Lebesgue-Stieltjes Riemann sums' (but the convergence is *not* for \mathbb{P} -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$).

- In general, $\int_0^\bullet A_s dB_s$ will only be local martingale; however, there is the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, which states that for all $q \in [1, \infty)$, there exists $C_q < \infty$ s.t. for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^s A_s dB_s \right|^q \right] \leq C_q \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t |A_s|^2 ds \right)^{q/2} \right] \in [0, \infty].$$

Define the section

$$\widetilde{\text{Ric}} \in \Gamma_{C^\infty}(\text{End}(\otimes^2 T^*M)) = \Gamma_{C^\infty}(\text{End}(\text{Hom}(TM, T^*M)))$$

on $A \in \text{Hom}(T_x M, T_x^* M)$, $v \in T_x M$, by

$$\widetilde{\text{Ric}}(A)(v) = \text{Ric}^T(Av) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Riem}^T(e_j, v)(Ae_j) \in T_x^* M,$$

and the section

$$\rho \in \Gamma_{C^\infty}(\text{Hom}(T^*M, \otimes^2 T^*M)) = \Gamma_{C^\infty}(\text{Hom}(T^*M, \text{Hom}(TM, T^*M)))$$

on $\alpha \in T_x^* M$, $v \in T_x M$ by

$$\rho(\alpha)(v) = (\nabla_v^{1,1} \text{Ric}^T)\alpha - \sum_{j=1}^m (\nabla_{e_j}^{2,2} \text{Riem}^T)(e_j, v)\alpha \in T_x^* M.$$

Define the section

$$\widetilde{\text{Ric}} \in \Gamma_{C^\infty}(\text{End}(\otimes^2 T^*M)) = \Gamma_{C^\infty}(\text{End}(\text{Hom}(TM, T^*M)))$$

on $A \in \text{Hom}(T_x M, T_x^* M)$, $v \in T_x M$, by

$$\widetilde{\text{Ric}}(A)(v) = \text{Ric}^T(Av) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Riem}^T(e_j, v)(Ae_j) \in T_x^* M,$$

and the section

$$\rho \in \Gamma_{C^\infty}(\text{Hom}(T^*M, \otimes^2 T^*M)) = \Gamma_{C^\infty}(\text{Hom}(T^*M, \text{Hom}(TM, T^*M)))$$

on $\alpha \in T_x^* M$, $v \in T_x M$ by

$$\rho(\alpha)(v) = (\nabla_v^{1,1} \text{Ric}^T)\alpha - \sum_{j=1}^m (\nabla_{e_j}^{2,2} \text{Riem}^T)(e_j, v)\alpha \in T_x^* M.$$

Define

$$\tilde{Q}(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \text{End}(\otimes^2 T_x^* M),$$

$$(d/dt)\tilde{Q}_t(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{Q}_t(x)(//_t^{0,2})^{-1}\widetilde{\text{Ric}}_{X_t} //_t^{0,2}|_x, \quad \tilde{Q}_0(x) = \text{id}_{\otimes^2 T_x^* M},$$

$$B(x) : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow T_x X \quad \text{anti-dev. of } X(x) \text{ w.r.t. } \nabla^{1,0} \text{ (BM!)},$$

and for fixed $t > 0$, $\xi \in \otimes^2 T_x M$ further

$$\ell(\zeta, t) := \frac{(t - \bullet)}{t} \zeta : [0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow \otimes^2 T_x M,$$

$$\ell^{(1)}(\xi, t) := - \int_0^\bullet Q_s^{T,-1} dB_s \tilde{Q}_s^T \dot{\ell}_s(\xi, t)|_x : [0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow T_x M,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{(2)}(\xi, t) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\bullet Q_s^{T,-1} ((//_s^{0,2})^{-1} \rho(X_s) //_s^{0,2})^T \tilde{Q}_s^T \ell_s(\zeta, t) ds|_x \\ &: [0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow T_x M. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Baumgarth/G. 2018)

Assume $|\text{Riem}|, |\nabla^{1,3}\text{Riem}| \leq A$ für some $A < \infty$. Then for all $\alpha \in \Gamma_{C_c^\infty}(T^*M)$, $t > 0$, $x \in M$, $\xi \in \otimes^2 T_x M$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla e^{-t\Delta_1} \alpha(x), \xi) \\ &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\left(Q_t(x) //_{t}^{0,1}(x)^{-1} \alpha(X_t(x)), \ell_t^{(1)}(\xi, t) + \ell_t^{(2)}(\xi, t) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Using the Itô formula one finds (a long calculation) that the process

$$\begin{aligned} Y &:= \left(\tilde{Q}(x) //_{t}^{0,2}(x)^{-1} \nabla e^{-(t-\bullet)\Delta_1} \alpha(X(x)), \ell(\xi, t) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(Q(x) //_{t}^{0,1}(x)^{-1} e^{-(t-\bullet)\Delta_1} \alpha(X(x)), \ell^{(1)}(\xi, t) + \ell^{(2)}(\xi, t) \right) \\ &: [0, t] \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

is a local martingale (without any restriction on the geometry of M).

Theorem (Baumgarth/G. 2018)

Assume $|\text{Riem}|, |\nabla^{1,3}\text{Riem}| \leq A$ für some $A < \infty$. Then for all $\alpha \in \Gamma_{C_c^\infty}(T^*M)$, $t > 0$, $x \in M$, $\xi \in \otimes^2 T_x M$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla e^{-t\Delta_1} \alpha(x), \xi) \\ &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\left(Q_t(x) //_{t}^{0,1}(x)^{-1} \alpha(X_t(x)), \ell_t^{(1)}(\xi, t) + \ell_t^{(2)}(\xi, t) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Using the Itô formula one finds (a long calculation) that the process

$$\begin{aligned} Y &:= \left(\tilde{Q}(x) //_{t}^{0,2}(x)^{-1} \nabla e^{-(t-\bullet)\Delta_1} \alpha(X(x)), \ell(\xi, t) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(Q(x) //_{t}^{0,1}(x)^{-1} e^{-(t-\bullet)\Delta_1} \alpha(X(x)), \ell^{(1)}(\xi, t) + \ell^{(2)}(\xi, t) \right) \\ &: [0, t] \times \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

is a local martingale (without any restriction on the geometry of M).

The following estimates will entail that under our assumptions on the geometry of M , the process Y is even a martingale:

Lemma ($\ell^{(j)}$ -estimates)

Assume $|\text{Riem}|, |\nabla^{1,3}\text{Riem}| \leq A$ for some $A < \infty$, and let $q \in [1, \infty)$, $t > 0$, $x \in M$, $\xi \in T_x M$.

a) One has:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\ell_s^{(1)}(\xi, t)|^q \right]^{1/q} \leq C_{q, m} t^{-1/2} e^{t C_{A, q, m}} |\xi|,$$

b) One has:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\ell_s^{(2)}(\xi, t)|^q \right]^{1/q} \leq C e^{C_{A, m} t} |\xi|.$$

Proof: By Gronwall

$$|Q_s(x)|, |Q_s(x)^{-1}|, |\tilde{Q}_s(x)|, \tilde{Q}_s(x)^{-1} \leq e^{C_{m,A}s} \mathbb{P}\text{-f.s. for all } s \in [0, t],$$

so that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\ell_s^{(2)}(\xi, t)|^q \right] \leq e^{qC_{m,A}t} |\xi|^q,$$

and using the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |\ell_s^{(1)}(\xi, t)|^q \right] &\leq C_{q,m} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t |Q_s^{T,-1}|^2 |\tilde{Q}_s^T|^2 |\dot{\ell}_s(\xi, t)|^2 |x| ds \right)^{q/2} \right] \\ &\leq C_{q,m} t^{-q/2} e^{tC_{A,q,m}} |\xi|^q, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the Lemma.

Using these results for $q = 1$ and

$$\sup_{u \in [0, t], y \in M} |e^{-u\Delta_1} \alpha(y)| < \infty, \quad \sup_{u \in [0, t], y \in M} |\nabla e^{-u\Delta_1} \alpha(y)| < \infty \text{ w.l.o.g.,}$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |Y_s| \right] < \infty,$$

so Y is a martingale and

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla e^{-t\Delta_1} \alpha(x), \xi) &= \mathbb{E}[Y_0] = \mathbb{E}[Y_t] \\ &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\left(Q_t(x) //_{t}^{0,1}(x)^{-1} \alpha(X_t(x)), \ell_t^{(1)}(\xi, t) + \ell_t^{(2)}(\xi, t) \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the path integral formula.

Theorem (Baumgarth/G. 2018)

Assume $|\text{Riem}|, |\nabla^{1,3}\text{Riem}| \leq A$ for some $A < \infty$. Then for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ there is constant $C = C_{A,p,m} > 0$, so that for all $t > 0$ one has

$$\left\| \nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} \right\|_{p,p} \leq C e^{Ct} t^{-1/2}.$$

In particular, one has $CRT(p)$ and $CZ(p)$, with constants depending only on A, p, m .

Theorem (Baumgarth/G. 2018)

Assume $|\text{Riem}|, |\nabla^{1,3}\text{Riem}| \leq A$ for some $A < \infty$. Then for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ there is constant $C = C_{A,p,m} > 0$, so that for all $t > 0$ one has

$$\left\| \nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} \right\|_{p,p} \leq C e^{Ct} t^{-1/2}.$$

In particular, one has $CRT(p)$ and $CZ(p)$, with constants depending only on A, p, m .

Proof: The formula for $\nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} \alpha(x)$ together with

$$|Q_t(x)|, |Q_t(x)^{-1}|, |\tilde{Q}_t(x)|, |\tilde{Q}_t(x)^{-1}| \leq e^{C''t} \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-f.s.},$$

Hölder for \mathbb{E} , and the $\ell^{(j)}$ -estimates for $q = p^*$ shows

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} \alpha(x)| &\leq C'' e^{C''t} \mathbb{E} [|\alpha(X_t(x))|^p]^{1/p} \\ &= C'' e^{C''t} \left(e^{-t\Delta} |\alpha|^p(x) \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla^{0,1} e^{-t\Delta_1} \alpha(x)|^p d\mu(x) &\leq C' e^{C't} \int_M e^{-t\Delta} |\alpha|^p(x) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C' e^{C't} \int_M |\alpha|^p(x) d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

as $e^{-t\Delta}$ is a contraction in $L^r(M)$ for all $r \in [1, \infty]$ (without any assumptions on the geometry of M). Done!

Thank you for listening!