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## Introduction

In this paper we study vector bundles on the arithmetic surface $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$, where $A$ is a Dedekind domain.

The problem of classification of vector bundles on complex projective spaces is quite difficult (see [1]). Relatively little is known in the arithmetic setting. Namely, Hanna showed that every bundle admits a filtration with linear bundles as quotients in the case when $A$ is a Euclidean domain (see [2] or Theorem 1.1.4). An algorithm for constructing such a filtration was obtained by Smirnov and the author in [3].

Smirnov classified vector bundles of rank two with trivial generic fiber and simple jumps in [4] and proved that every such bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}$ has $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ as a subbundle (see [5]).

The purpose of the current article is to classify vector bundles generically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and having simple jumps: that is, either $E_{y} \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ or $E_{y} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ for every closed point $y \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. In the case where $A$ is a PID, we get a complete classification; this is the content of Theorem 2.2.1 and Propositions 2.3.2, 2.3.3. To every such bundle $E$ we attached an important invariant $\Delta(E)$, its discriminant. For example, in the case $A=\mathbb{Z}$ the classification implies that up to isomorphism there are only finitely many vector bundles of given discriminant $\Delta$ (see Example 2.3.5).

Notice that $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ is a subbundle of the pullback of $E$ to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ for every non-zero integer $n$, so the interesting question to ask is whether $\mathcal{O}(-1) \subset E$ globally. It turns out that this is not always the case; the answer is given by Theorem 2.4.3 and involves in fact cubic nonresidues modulo $\Delta(E)$.

In Section 3, we restrict ourselves to the case $A=\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Delta$ be a non-zero integer, $\Delta \neq \pm 1$. Let $C$ be an integral binary cubic form $p v_{0}^{3}+3 q v_{0}^{2} v_{1}+3 r v_{0} v_{1}^{2}+s v_{1}^{3}$ of discriminant $D$, and suppose that $C$ satisfies the following condition: $C$ has a triple root modulo $d$ if and only if $d$ divides $\Delta$, where $d \neq 0, \pm 1$. To every such cubic $C$ we associate a vector bundle of discriminant $\Delta$; see Theorem 3.4.2.

It is natural to ask whether any isomorphism class of vector bundles with generic fiber $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and simple jumps can be obtained as a bundle associated to a binary cubic form (this is equivalent to proving that every such bundle has $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ as a subbundle). If it is not the case, then can we describe the obstructions?

## 1. Preliminaries

We shall study vector bundles over $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$ for a Dedekind domain $A$, in particular for $A=\mathbb{Z}$. It is instructive and more natural to state a few results in greater generality. Thus let $A$ be a Noetherian commutative ring.

We write usually $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}(d)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X}(d)$ for a suitable scheme $X$, especially if $X$ can be easily specified. As usual, let

$$
\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}=\operatorname{Proj} A\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right], \quad \operatorname{deg} t_{0}=\operatorname{deg} t_{1}=1
$$

In addition, $\mathcal{O}\left(U_{0}\right)=A[x], \mathcal{O}\left(U_{1}\right)=A\left[x^{-1}\right]$, and $\mathcal{O}\left(U_{01}\right)=A\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$, where $x=t_{1} / t_{0}, U_{i}$ denotes the complement to the zero locus of $t_{i}$, and $U_{01}=U_{0} \cap U_{1}$.
1.1. We shall start with a brief review of vector bundles on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$.

Theorem 1.1.1 (Grothendieck, [1]). Let $F$ be a field. Any vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{F}^{1}$ is isomorphic to a sum of line bundles with uniquely defined summands.

Line bundles can be described as follows:
Theorem 1.1.2 ([6]). Any line bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{n}$ is isomorphic to a bundle of the form $p^{*} L \otimes \mathcal{O}(d)$, where $L$ is a line bundle on $\operatorname{Spec} A$ and $p: \mathbf{P}_{A}^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$ is a structure morphism.

In particular, any line bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{F}^{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(d)$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Note that the situation for $A=\mathbb{Z}$ is more complicated. More generally, for certain Dedekind domains $A$, there exist indecomposable rank 2 vector bundles on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$ (see [4], [9]). It is an open question whether any vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$ for a Dedekind ring $A$ admits a filtration with linear bundles as quotients. Let us cite a few known results in this direction.
Theorem 1.1.3 (Hanna, [2]). Let $A$ be a PID, and let $E$ be any vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$. Then $E$ has a filtration

$$
0 \subseteq E_{0} \subseteq E_{1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq E_{t}=E
$$

such that $E_{i} / E_{i-1}$ is a line bundle when $i<t$ and $E_{t} / E_{t-1}$ has rank at most two.
The question is answered completely for any Euclidean ring.
Theorem 1.1.4 (Hanna, [2]). Let $A$ be a Euclidean domain, and let $F$ be any vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$. Then $E$ has a filtration

$$
0=E_{0} \subseteq E_{1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq E_{n}=E
$$

such that $E_{i} / E_{i-1}$ is a line bundle $(1 \leq i \leq n=\operatorname{rk} E)$.
In particular, every bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}$ admits a filtration with linear bundles as quotients.
1.2. Cohomology and base change ([7]). We recall the classical theorem and its corollaries.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian schemes, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $X$, flat over $Y$. By $X_{y}$ we denote the fiber of $f$ over $y \in Y, \mathcal{F}_{y}$ denotes the fiber $\left.\mathcal{F}\right|_{X_{y}}$ and said to be a fiber of $\mathcal{F}$ over the point $y \in Y$.

Then $y \mapsto \chi\left(\mathcal{F}_{y}\right)$ is a locally constant function on $Y$, and the function $y \mapsto$ $\operatorname{dim}_{k(y)} H^{p}\left(X_{y}, \mathcal{F}_{y}\right)$ is upper semicontinuous. Moreover, if $Y$ is reduced and connected, then
(1) The function $y \mapsto \operatorname{dim}_{k(y)} H^{p}\left(X_{y}, \mathcal{F}_{y}\right)$ is constant on $Y$ if and only if $\mathcal{E}=R^{p} f_{*} \mathcal{F}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module, and for every $y \in Y$ the natural morphism $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} k(y) \rightarrow H^{p}\left(X_{y}, \mathcal{F}_{y}\right)$ is an isomorphism.
(2) If the preceding equivalent conditions are satisfied, then for every $y \in Y$ the natural map $R^{p-1} f_{*} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} k(y) \rightarrow H^{p-1}\left(X_{y}, \mathcal{F}_{y}\right)$ is an isomorphism.
1.3. Beilinson spectral sequence. Some of the methods for constructing vector bundles on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n}$ described in [1] can be applied to construct bundles on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$. Namely, Beilinson spectral sequences are very useful tools in the study of vector bundles in the arithmetic setting.
Theorem 1.3.1 (Beilinson). Let $F$ be a vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$, and let $\pi: \mathbf{P}_{A}^{1} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Spec} A$ be a structure morphism. There is a spectral sequence $E^{p q}$ with $E_{1}$-term $E_{1}^{p q}=R \pi_{*}^{q}(F(p)) \otimes \Omega^{-p}(p)$ which converges to

$$
F^{i}= \begin{cases}F & \text { for } i=0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

that is, $E_{\infty}^{p q}=0$ for $p+q \neq 0$.
In particular, the $E_{1}$-term is concentrated in the second quadrant. Moreover, its nontrivial part is concentrated in the first two rows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{1}(F(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{d^{1}} H^{1}(F) \otimes \mathcal{O} \\
& H^{0}(F(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{d^{1}} H^{0}(F) \otimes \mathcal{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

1.4. Gluing. Let $A$ be a PID, and let $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(A\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\right)$. To $\sigma$ one associates a vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$ as follows: $\left.E\right|_{U_{0}}=\mathcal{O} e_{1}+\cdots+\mathcal{O} e_{r},\left.E\right|_{U_{1}}=\mathcal{O} f_{1}+\cdots+\mathcal{O} f_{r}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right] \sigma=\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $U_{01}$, so that $f_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i, j} e_{i}$.
By the Quillen-Suslin Theorem, every finitely generated projective $A$-module is free, thus any vector bundle of rank $r$ on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$ can be obtained in that way. In this case, the isomorphism class of such a bundle is an element of the double quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vect}_{r}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{GL}_{r}(A[x]) \backslash \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(A\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\right) / \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(A\left[x^{-1}\right]\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.5. Jumps. Given a vector bundle $E$ on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$, we will say that $E$ has a jump over $y \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, or simply $E_{y}$ is a jump of $E$, if the fiber $E_{y}$ of $E$ over the point $y$ is not isomorphic to the generic fiber of $E$ (that is, the fiber over the generic point of $\operatorname{Spec} A)$. In this case, $y$ is called a jump point.

The set of jump points is obviously finite.

## 2. Classification

Let $A$ be a Dedekind domain. We shall study vector bundles of rank 2 on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$ with fixed structure of fibers over the closed points of $\operatorname{Spec} A$. Namely, we consider vector bundles generically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ such that their set of jump points is non-empty and all their jumps over the closed points of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$. In this case, we say that the jumps are simple.

### 2.1. Non-degenerate maps and vector bundles.

2.1.1. Let $E$ be a vector bundle as above. Consider the bundle $F=E(1)$. We obtain that $H^{1}(F)=H^{1}(F(-1))=0, H^{0}(F) \simeq A^{5}$, and $H^{0}(F(-1)) \simeq A^{3}$ as a consequence of the proper base change theorem (see 1.2). Thus the $E_{1}$-term of the Beilinson spectral sequence is of the form (see 1.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}^{-1,0} \xrightarrow{d_{1}^{-1,0}} E_{1}^{0,0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1}^{-1,0}=H^{0}(F(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \simeq \mathcal{O}^{3}(-1)$, and $E_{1}^{0,0}=H^{0}(F) \otimes \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{O}^{5}$.
Since the spectral sequence with $E_{1}$-term (3) degenerates at the second page, it follows from Theorem 1.3.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\infty}^{0,0}=E_{2}^{0,0}=\operatorname{Coker}\left(d_{1}^{-1,0}\right)=F . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{3}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{5}(-1) \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0
$$

Given a morphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}^{3}(-2), \mathcal{O}^{5}(-1)\right)$, we will say that $\varphi$ is nondegenerate if $\operatorname{Coker}(\varphi)$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 2 . We note that this is equivalent to the assertion that $\varphi$ is locally split. Consequently, bundles with the generic fiber $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and simple jumps are classified by nondegenerate morphisms.
2.1.2. Let $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ and $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{5}$ be the standard bases of $\mathcal{O}^{3}$ and $\mathcal{O}^{5}$, respectively. The choice of bases fixes an identification

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}^{3}(-2), \mathcal{O}^{5}(-1)\right) \cong M_{5,3}(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1)))
$$

We identify $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1))=A t_{0}+A t_{1}$, where $t_{0}, t_{1}$ is the basis of $H^{0}(\mathcal{O}(1))$. Thus, for every $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}^{3}(-2), \mathcal{O}^{5}(-1)\right)$, we have

$$
\varphi=t_{0} \varphi_{0}+t_{1} \varphi_{1}, \text { where } \varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1} \in M_{5,3}(A)
$$

Let $\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}$ be nondegenerate arrows. We write $\varphi \sim \varphi^{\prime}$ if $\varphi^{\prime}=\theta \varphi \lambda$, where $\theta \in$ $\operatorname{GL}_{5}(A)$ and $\lambda \in \operatorname{GL}_{3}(A)$. It is clear that $\varphi \sim \varphi^{\prime}$ implies $\operatorname{Coker}(\varphi) \simeq \operatorname{Coker}\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)$.
2.1.3. Let $\varphi$ be a nondegenerate arrow. Restricting $\varphi$ to the point $t_{0}=0$, we obtain

$$
\varphi_{1} \sim \varphi_{1}^{(1)}=\binom{I_{3}}{0_{2,3}} \in M_{5,3}(A)
$$

where $I_{3}$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix and $0_{m, n}$ is the zero matrix in $\in M_{m, n}(A)$.
The stabilizer of $\varphi_{1}^{(1)}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{5}(A) \times \mathrm{GL}_{3}(A)$ consists of the pairs $\left(\theta, \alpha^{-1}\right)$ with $\theta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \beta \\ 0_{2,2} & \delta\end{array}\right), \delta \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(A)$, and $\beta \in M_{3,2}(A)$. This follows from a straightforward computation.

The theory of elementary divisors implies that $\varphi_{0} \sim \varphi_{0}^{(1)}$, where

$$
\varphi_{0}^{(1)}=\binom{M}{N}, \quad M \in M_{3,3}(A), \quad N=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \nu \nu_{1} & 0  \tag{5}\\
0 & 0 & \nu_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $\varphi$ is nondegenerate, it follows that $\nu_{1} \in A^{*}$. Indeed, otherwise we could find a prime $\pi$ dividing $\nu_{1}$. Then $\varphi^{(1)}$ has only one 3 -minor which can be nontrivial modulo $\pi$, but this minor has roots on $\mathbf{P} \frac{1}{k}$, where $\bar{k}$ denotes the algebraic closure of $k=A / \pi$, which contradicts the fact that the pullback of $E$ along the morphism $\mathbf{P}_{\bar{k}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$ is a vector bundle of rank 2 on $\mathbf{P} \frac{1}{k}$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\varphi^{(1)}=t_{1}\binom{I_{3}}{0_{2,3}}+t_{0}\binom{M(\varepsilon)}{N(\nu)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
N(\nu)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \nu & 0  \tag{7}\\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \text { and } M(\varepsilon)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\varepsilon_{1,1} & \varepsilon_{1,2} & 0 \\
\varepsilon_{2,1} & \varepsilon_{2,2} & 0 \\
\varepsilon_{3,1} & \varepsilon_{3,2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.1.1. Let $A$ be a field. Suppose that $\varphi$ is nondegenerate, and $E=$ Coker $(\varphi)$. Then

$$
E \cong \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}+\mathcal{O}(1) & \text { for } \nu \neq 0 \\ \mathcal{O}(-1)+\mathcal{O}(2) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The exactness of (2.1.1) shows that $\operatorname{Det} E \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)$, and $E \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(-d)+$ $\mathcal{O}_{X}(d+1)$ for some $d \geq 0$. Consider the long exact sequence of cohomology associated to (2.1.1):

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{0}(X, E) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{3}(-2)\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(-2)\right)^{3} \simeq A^{3} \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows immediately that $h^{0}(X, E)=3$ and $d \leq 1$. To distinguish between the cases $d=0$ and $d=1$, we use the long exact sequence associated to (2.1.1) twisted by $\mathcal{O}(-1)$. Namely, we have
$0 \rightarrow H^{0}(E(-1)) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{3}(-3)\right) \xrightarrow{H^{1}(\varphi(-1))} H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}^{5}(-2)\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}(E(-1)) \rightarrow 0$.
When computing the middle arrow $H^{1}(\varphi(-1))$, it is more convenient to work with the adjoint arrow

$$
H^{0}\left([\varphi(-1)]^{\vee} \otimes K_{X}\right): H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{5} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(1)\right)^{3}
$$

where $K_{X}$ is the canonical bundle. We note that $H^{1}(\varphi)^{\vee}=H^{0}\left(\varphi^{\vee}\right)$. Consequently, the arrow $H^{0}\left([\varphi(-1)]^{\vee} \otimes K_{X}\right)$ is given by multiplication by

$$
\varphi^{*} \in M_{5,3}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)\right)\right)
$$

the adjoint of $\varphi$. With respect to the bases $f_{1}^{*}, \ldots, f_{5}^{*}$, and $t_{0} e_{1}, \ldots, t_{0} e_{3}, t_{1} e_{1}, \ldots, t_{1} e_{3}$ the matrix of $\varphi^{*}$ has the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\varepsilon_{1,1} & \varepsilon_{2,1} & \varepsilon_{3,1} & 0 & 0 \\
\varepsilon_{1,2} & \varepsilon_{2,2} & \varepsilon_{3,2} & \nu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We finally conclude that $H^{0}(X, E(-1))=A \oplus A / \nu$, and $H^{1}(X, E(-1))=A / \nu$, thus $d=1$ if and only if $\nu=1$, as desired.

Corollary 2.1.2. Let $A$ be a domain, and $\nu \neq 0$. Then $E=\operatorname{Coker}(\varphi)$ is generically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$, all the jumps have the form $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$, and lie exactly over the divisors of $\nu$. In particular, the ideal generated by $\nu$ depends only on the isomorphism class of $E$. In this situation, we say that $(\nu)$ is a discriminant ideal of $E$ and write $\Delta(E)=(\nu)$.

We will generally abuse notation by simply saying that $\nu$ is a discriminant of $E$ and writing $\Delta(E)=\nu$.

Further, let us assume that $A$ is a PID. This assumption allows us to describe explicitly the orbits of the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(A)$ on $A^{n}$. As usual, given $a, b \in A$, we write $(a, b)=c$ if $A a+A b=(c)$.
2.1.4. The arrow $\varphi$ is nondegenerate if and only if its pullbacks to $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$ are nondegenerate. We first treat the case of the restriction to the open set $U_{0}$ :

$$
\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{0}}=\varphi_{0}+x \varphi_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\varepsilon_{1,1}+x & \varepsilon_{1,2} & 0  \tag{9}\\
\varepsilon_{2,1} & \varepsilon_{2,2}+x & 0 \\
\varepsilon_{3,1} & \varepsilon_{3,2} & x \\
0 & \nu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

In this case, we obtain an obvious necessary condition for $\varphi$ to be nondegenerate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(\varepsilon_{2,1}, \varepsilon_{3,1}\right)=1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the restriction of $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{0}}$ to the point $x=-\varepsilon_{1,1}$ must be of rank 3 . Let $\overline{\varepsilon_{2,1}}, \overline{\varepsilon_{3,1}} \in A$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{2,1} \overline{\varepsilon_{2,1}}+\varepsilon_{3,1} \overline{\varepsilon_{3,1}}=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.1.5. A straightforward computation shows that the set of pairs $\left(\rho^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{5}(A) \times$ $\mathrm{GL}_{3}(A)$, where

$$
\rho^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{1,3} & \beta_{1,1} & \beta_{1,2} \\
0 & \alpha_{2,2} & \alpha_{2,3} & \beta_{2,1} & \beta_{2,2} \\
0 & \alpha_{3,2} & \alpha_{3,3} & \beta_{3,1} & \beta_{3,2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{2,2} & \alpha_{2,3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{3,2} / \nu & \alpha_{3,3}
\end{array}\right), \quad \alpha^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{1,3} \\
0 & \delta_{1,1} & \delta_{1,2} \\
0 & \delta_{2,1} & \delta_{2,2}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}
$$

contains the stabilizer of the set of matrices of the form (6). We also note that $\alpha_{3,2} \equiv 0(\bmod \nu)$, thus

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{2,2} & \alpha_{2,3}  \tag{12}\\
\alpha_{3,2} & \alpha_{3,3}
\end{array}\right) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{0}(\nu)
$$

here $\tilde{\Gamma}_{0}(\nu)$ denotes the group $\left\{\gamma=\left(\gamma_{i, j}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2}(A): \gamma_{2,1} \equiv 0(\bmod \nu)\right\}$.
Now set $\alpha_{1,2}=-\alpha_{1,1} \overline{\varepsilon_{2,1}} \varepsilon_{1,1}, \alpha_{1,3}=-\alpha_{1,1} \overline{\varepsilon_{2,1}} \varepsilon_{1,1}$, where $\overline{\varepsilon_{2,1}}$ and $\overline{\varepsilon_{3,1}}$ were defined in (11). According to the arbitrariness of the $\beta_{i, j}$, it follows that, for any nondegenerate arrow $\varphi$, there exists an equivalent arrow $\varphi^{\prime}$ of the form (6) such that $\varepsilon_{1,1}=0$; moreover, for $1 \leq k \leq 3$, the coefficients $\varepsilon_{k, 2}$ are defined modulo $\nu$.

Using the above argument, that is, restricting $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{0}}$ to the point $x=-\varepsilon_{2,2}$, we obtain that at least one of the coefficients $\varepsilon_{2,1}, \varepsilon_{3,1}$ is prime to $\nu$. It will therefore suffice to treat two cases: namely, $\left(\varepsilon_{3,1}, \nu\right)=1$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{3,1}, \nu\right) \neq 1$.
2.1.6. The case $\left(\varepsilon_{3,1}, \nu\right)=1$. Since $\varepsilon_{3,1}$ is prime to $\nu$ and $\varepsilon_{2,1}$ (see (10)), it follows that there exist $\tau, \omega \in A$ such that $\varepsilon_{2,1} \nu \tau+\varepsilon_{3,1} \omega=1$. Then set

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{2,2} & \alpha_{2,3}  \tag{13}\\
\alpha_{3,2} & \alpha_{3,3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon_{3,1} & -\varepsilon_{2,1} \\
\nu \tau & \omega
\end{array}\right) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{0}(\nu)
$$
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In this case, we have

$$
\varphi \sim t_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \varepsilon_{1,2} & 0  \tag{14}\\
0 & \varepsilon_{2,2} & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \nu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+t_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

2.1.7. The case $\left(\varepsilon_{3,1}, \nu\right) \neq 1$. A simple computation shows that

$$
\varphi \sim t_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \varepsilon_{1,2} & 0  \tag{15}\\
1 & \varepsilon_{2,2} & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon_{3,2} & 0 \\
0 & \nu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+t_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

2.1.8. We note that any nondegenerate $\varphi$ is equivalent either to (14) or (15) in the sense of 2.1.2; thus we are reduced to proving nondegeneracy conditions for arrows of such form.

Proposition 2.1.3. Let $\varphi$ be as in (14). Then $\varphi$ is nondegenerate if and only if $\varepsilon_{2,2}=0$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{1,2}, \nu\right)=1$.

Proof. We must show that the restrictions $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{0}}$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{1}}$ are nondegenerate. We first consider the restriction

$$
\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{1}}=y \varphi_{0}+\varphi_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \varepsilon_{1,2} y & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon_{2,2} y+1 & 0 \\
y & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \nu y & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{1}}$ is nondegenerate if and only if the map

$$
A[y] \rightarrow A[y]^{3}: 1 \mapsto\left(\varepsilon_{2,2} y+1, \nu y, \varepsilon_{1,2} y^{3}\right)
$$

is injective and its cokernel is a projective module. We now observe that the latter is equivalent to the assertion that the inclusion $A[y] \rightarrow A[y]^{3}$ is a split morphism; thus the map $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{1}}$ is nondegenerate if and only if the row $\left(\varepsilon_{2,2} y+1, \nu y, \varepsilon_{1,2} y^{3}\right)$ is unimodular.

If $\left(\varepsilon_{2,2} y+1, \nu y, \varepsilon_{1,2} y^{3}\right)$ is unimodular, then its restriction to the point $y=\nu$ given by

$$
\left(\varepsilon_{2,2} \nu+1, \nu^{2}, \varepsilon_{1,2} \nu^{3}\right)
$$

is also unimodular; consequently there exist a triple $(a, b, c) \in A^{3}$ such that

$$
a\left(\varepsilon_{2,2} \nu+1\right)+b \nu^{2}+c \varepsilon_{1,2} \nu^{3}=1 .
$$

It follows immediately that $a=1$ and $\varepsilon_{2,2} \equiv 0(\bmod \nu)$; consequently, according to the remark in the end of 2.1 .5 , we obtain an equality $\varepsilon_{2,2}=0$.

Next, we consider the restriction of $\varphi$ to the open set $U_{0}$ :

$$
\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{0}}=\varphi_{0}+x \varphi_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x & \varepsilon_{1,2} & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon_{2,2}+x & 0 \\
1 & 0 & x \\
0 & \nu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

By repeating the above argument, we deduce that $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{0}}$ is nondegenerate if and only if the row

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varepsilon_{1,2}, \varepsilon_{2,2}+x, \nu\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is unimodular. Restricting to the point $x=0$ (and using the equality $\varepsilon_{2,2}=0$ ), we get the desired condition $\left(\varepsilon_{1,2}, \nu\right)=1$.

We now complete the proof by showing that every $\varphi$ satisfying the conditions of Proposition 2.1.3 is nondegenerate. Let $\varphi$ be a map of the form (14) such that $\varepsilon_{2,2}=0$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{1,2}, \nu\right)=1$.

Since the restriction $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{1}}$ is obviously nondegenerate, it suffices to prove that $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{0}}$ is nondegenerate. As we observed above, the latter is equivalent to the unimodularity of the row (16). Define $\zeta$ and $\xi$ by $\varepsilon_{1,2} \zeta+\nu \xi=1$. It is easy to check that

$$
(\zeta+x \zeta) \cdot \varepsilon_{1,2}-1 \cdot x+(\xi+x \xi) \cdot \nu=1
$$

so the row $\left(\varepsilon_{1,2}, x, \nu\right)$ is unimodular.
Proposition 2.1.4. Let $\varphi$ be as in (15). Then $\varphi$ is nondegenerate if and only if $\varepsilon_{2,2}=0, \varepsilon_{1,2}=0$, and $\left(\nu, \varepsilon_{3,2}\right)=1$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.1.3 but easier.
We first consider the restriction of $\varphi$ to $U_{1}$ given by

$$
\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{1}}=y \varphi_{0}+\varphi_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \varepsilon_{1,2} y & 0 \\
y & \varepsilon_{2,2} y+1 & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon_{3,2} y & 1 \\
0 & \nu y & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y
\end{array}\right)
$$

Suppose that $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{1}}$ is nondegenerate. It then follows easily that $\varepsilon_{2,2}=0, \varepsilon_{1,2}=0$, and the row $\left(\nu, \varepsilon_{3,2}\right)$ is unimodular; conversely, if $\varphi$ satisfies the conditions of the proposition, then its restriction to the open set $U_{1}$ is obviously nondegenerate; consequently, it will suffice to prove the non-degeneracy of $\left.\varphi\right|_{U_{0}}$. This can be done by checking the unimodularity of the row $\left(-x^{2}, \varepsilon_{3,2}, \nu\right)$. Since $\left(\nu, \varepsilon_{3,2}\right)=1$ (see 2.1.4), there exist $\zeta, \xi \in A$ such that $\nu \zeta+\varepsilon_{3,2} \xi=1$. Then we have

$$
-x^{2} \cdot 1+\varepsilon_{3,2} \cdot\left(\xi+x^{2} \xi\right)+\nu \cdot\left(\zeta+x^{2} \zeta\right)=1
$$

which completes the proof.
2.2. A classification theorem. First, we need to introduce a bit of notation.

Let $\varepsilon \in A$. We will denote the matrices $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0\end{array}\right)$ by $M_{1}(\varepsilon)$ and $M_{2}(\varepsilon)$, respectively.

For each $\nu \in A$, we let $N(\nu)$ denote the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & \nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, and we define $\tilde{N}(\nu)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\nu & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.

Let $(\nu, \varepsilon) \in A^{2}$ be a pair such that $\nu \notin A^{*} \cup\{0\}$ and $(\varepsilon, \nu)=1$. For any such pair, we let $V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ denote the bundle $\operatorname{Coker}(\varphi)$, where $\varphi$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=t_{0}\binom{M_{1}(\varepsilon)}{N(\nu)}+t_{1}\binom{I_{3}}{0_{2,3}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the pair $(\nu, \varepsilon) \in A^{2}$ is as above, we let $V_{2}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ denote the bundle $\operatorname{Coker}(\varphi)$, where $\varphi$ is the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=t_{0}\binom{M_{2}(\varepsilon)}{N(\nu)}+t_{1}\binom{I_{3}}{0_{2,3}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2.1. Let $A$ be a PID, and let $E$ be a vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$ such that $E$ is generically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $E_{y} \simeq \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ for every closed jump point $y \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. Suppose moreover that the set of jump points is nonempty. Then $E$ is isomorphic either to $V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ or to $V_{2}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ for some pair $(\nu, \varepsilon)$ such that $\nu \in A \backslash\{0\} \cup A^{*}$ and $\varepsilon$ is prime to $\nu$.
Proof. Suppose that $\nu \notin A^{*} \cup\{0\}, \varepsilon \in A$, and $(\nu, \varepsilon)=1$. Then Propositions 2.1.3 and 2.1.4 immediately imply that that $V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ and $V_{2}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ define vector bundles with the generic fiber $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and simple jumps.

It follows by construction that every such bundle can be obtained as a bundle $\operatorname{Coker}(\varphi)$, where $\varphi$ is either as in (17) or as in (18).

Remark 2.2.2. Suppose that $\nu, \nu^{\prime} \notin A^{*} \cup\{0\}$. It follows from Theorem 2.2.1 that, for every unimodular pair $(\nu, \varepsilon)$ and every unimodular pair $\left(\nu^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right), V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon) \not \nsim V_{2}\left(\nu^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$.
2.3. Morphisms between the bundles. We shall describe morphisms between the bundles in question. Let $F$ and $G$ be vector bundles with the generic fiber $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and simple jumps. We can use the functoriality of Beilinson spectral sequence (see 2.1.1) and canonical isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}(F(1)) \simeq A^{5}, \quad H^{0}(F) \simeq A^{5}, \quad H^{0}(G(1)) \simeq A^{5}, \text { and } H^{0}(G) \simeq A^{3} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

to reduce to the problem of describing commutative diagrams of the following form

where $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are the arrows defining $F$ and $G$, respectively. We have the following commutativity equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \varphi=\psi \theta \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathrm{GL}_{5}(A)$ and $\theta \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}(A)$.
According to Theorem 2.2.1, we may choose these arrows either as in (17) or as in (18).

Proposition 2.3.1. Let $F \simeq V_{i}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ and $G \simeq V_{j}(\mu, \zeta)$, where $(\nu, \varepsilon)=1,(\mu, \zeta)=1$, $\nu, \mu \notin A^{*} \cup\{0\}$, and $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. The functor $H^{0}$ and the canonical isomorphisms in (19) identify $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(F, G)$ with the set of those $\theta=\left(\theta_{k, l}\right) \in M_{3,3}(A)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $\theta_{2,1}=\theta_{3,1}=0$.
(2) $\tilde{N}(\mu) \tilde{\theta} \tilde{N}(\nu)^{-1} \in M_{2,2}(A)$, where $\tilde{\theta}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\theta_{2,2} & \theta_{2,3} \\ \theta_{3,2} & \theta_{3,3}\end{array}\right)$.
(3) The matrix $M^{\theta}=\left(\left(M_{j}(\zeta) \theta-\theta M_{i}(\varepsilon)\right)_{l, k}\right)$, where $1 \leq l \leq 3$ and $2 \leq k \leq 3$, satisfies

$$
M^{\theta} \tilde{N}(\nu)^{-1} \in M_{3,2}(A), 2 \leq k \leq 3
$$

(4) The first column of the matrix $M_{j}(\zeta) \theta-\theta M_{i}(\varepsilon)$ is zero.

Proof. Suppose that there exist a pair of morphisms $(\lambda, \theta)$ such that (20) holds. Then set $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i, j}\right)$, where $\lambda_{1,1} \in M_{3,3}(A), \lambda_{1,2} \in M_{2,3}(A), \lambda_{2,1} \in M_{3,2}(A)$, and $\lambda_{2,2} \in M_{2,2}(A)$.

Restricting the commutativity equation to the point $t_{0}=0$, we obtain the following equalities

$$
\lambda_{1,1}=\theta \quad \text { and } \lambda_{2,1}=0_{3,2} .
$$

For $t_{1}=0$, the restriction of (20) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta M_{i}(\varepsilon)+\lambda_{1,2} N(\nu)=M_{j}(\zeta) \theta, \quad \text { and } \lambda_{2,2} N(\nu)=N(\mu) \theta \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda_{1,2}$ and $\lambda_{2,2}$ are defined by $\theta$, we are reduced to proving the equivalence of the condition for these matrices to be integral (that is, defined over $A$ ) and the conditions in the statement. The result now follows from a simple explicit computation (see the definition of $N(\nu)$ in the beginning of 2.2).

To complete the classification, it suffices to describe isomorphic bundles of the form $V_{i}(\nu, \varepsilon), i=1,2$.

Proposition 2.3.2 (Isomorphic bundles of the form $\left.V_{1}\right)$. Let $(\nu, \varepsilon)$ and $(\mu, \zeta)$ be as in the statement of Theorem 2.2.1. Then $V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon) \simeq V_{1}(\mu, \zeta)$ if and only if $(\mu)=(\nu)$ and there exists $\eta \in A^{*}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta \equiv \eta \varepsilon \quad(\bmod \nu) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $F$ and $G$ are isomorphic. Then it follows from Corollary 2.1.2 that $(\nu)=(\mu)$. We may identify the set of isomorphisms between $F$ and $G$ with the set of those $\theta \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}(A)$ which satisfy the conditions (1) through (4) of Proposition 2.3.1. Namely, applying (1) and (4), we see that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\theta_{1,3} \\
-\theta_{2,3} \\
\theta_{1,1}-\theta_{3,3}
\end{array}\right)=0_{3}
$$

thus $\theta_{1,3}=\theta_{2,3}=0, \theta_{1,1}=\theta_{3,3}$, and

$$
\theta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\theta_{1,1} & \theta_{1,2} & 0 \\
0 & \theta_{2,2} & 0 \\
0 & \theta_{3,2} & \theta_{1,1} \cdot
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now we observe that $\theta_{1,1}$ and $\theta_{2,2}$ are units in $A$. The condition (3) is equivalent to the assertion that $\theta_{1,2} \equiv 0(\bmod \nu)$, and $\theta_{1,1}, \theta_{2,2}$ satisfy $\varepsilon \theta_{2,2} \equiv \zeta \theta_{1,1}(\bmod \nu)$; this proves that (22) is a necessary condition.

Suppose we are given bundles $V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ and $V_{1}(\mu, \zeta)$, and suppose that $\varepsilon \eta=\zeta$ for some $\eta \in A^{*}$. To prove that these bundles are isomorphic, it suffices to find $\theta=\left(\theta_{i, j}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}(A)$ which satisfies the integrality conditions of Proposition 2.3.1.

It is now easy to check that $\theta$ given by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta\end{array}\right)$ has the desired properties.

Proposition 2.3.3 (Isomorphic bundles of the form $\left.V_{2}\right)$. Let $(\nu, \varepsilon)$ and $(\mu, \zeta)$ be as in the statement of Theorem 2.2.1. Then $V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon) \simeq V_{1}(\mu, \zeta)$ if and only if $(\mu)=(\nu)$ and there exists $\eta \in A^{*}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta \equiv \eta \varepsilon \quad(\bmod \nu) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon) \simeq V_{1}(\mu, \zeta)$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.3.2, we deduce that $(\mu)=(\nu)$, that $\theta_{1,2}=\theta_{3,2}=0$ and $\theta_{1,1}=\theta_{2,2}$, and that the units $\theta_{1,1}$ and $\theta_{3,3}$ satisfy the congruence $\zeta \theta_{1,1} \equiv \theta_{3,3} \varepsilon(\bmod \nu)$; thus the congruence (23) holds for $\eta=\theta_{1,1}^{-1} \theta_{3,3}$. The conditions (2) and (3) of Proposition 2.3.1 imply that $\theta_{1,3} \equiv \theta_{2,3} \equiv 0(\bmod \nu)$.

It is straightforward to check that the condition (23) is sufficient. For example, we may set

$$
\theta=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{24}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \eta
\end{array}\right)
$$

This $\theta \in \mathrm{GL}_{3}(A)$ induces the desired isomorphism.
Remark 2.3.4. Let $K$ be the field of fractions of $A$. Then $E_{K} \simeq \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(E_{K}\right) \simeq\left(K^{*}\right)^{2} \times K^{2}$. It follows from the proofs of the above propositions that $\operatorname{Aut}(E) \simeq\left(A^{*}\right)^{2} \times(\nu A)^{2}$.

Example 2.3.5. Let $A=\mathbb{Z}$. It follows easily from Proposition 2.3.2 and Proposition 2.3.3 that there are only finitely many bundles of a given discriminant $\Delta$ (up to isomorphism): namely, the number of non-isomorphic bundles of discriminant $\Delta$ is given by $\varphi(\Delta)$, where $\varphi$ is Euler's totient function.
2.4. Gluing matrices. In this section, we phrase the classification in terms of gluing matrices (see 1.4). Let $\nu$ and $\varepsilon$ be as in Theorem 2.2.1, and let $\alpha, \beta \in A$ be such that $\nu \alpha-\varepsilon \beta=-1$. Two results below follow from an explicit computation; we refer the reader to [4] for details.
Proposition 2.4.1. Given a vector bundle $E=V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon)$, then there exist frames $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $f_{1}, f_{2}$ of $E$ over $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$, respectively, such that over the open set $U_{01}$ we have

$$
\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon x^{-1} & \nu x \\
\alpha & \beta x^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.4.2. Given a vector bundle $E=V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon)$, then there exist frames $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $f_{1}, f_{2}$ of $E$ over $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$, respectively, such that over the open set $U_{01}$ we have

$$
\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon x^{-1} & \nu \\
\alpha x & \beta x^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)
$$

This description has several geometrical consequences. Combining Propositions 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 with Propositions 2.3.2 and 2.3.3, we see that if $\varepsilon \in A^{*}$ then, for every $\nu \notin A^{*} \cup\{0\}$ and $i=1,2$, the bundles $V_{i}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ have $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ as a subbundle (indeed, since the corresponding gluing matrices can be chosen to be upper triangular).

Moreover, given a vector bundle $E$ with the generic fiber $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and simple jumps, it gives rise to an exact sequence of vector bundles on $\mathbf{P}_{A_{\pi}}^{1}$, where $\pi$ is a prime divisor of $\Delta(E)$ and $A_{\pi}$ is a localization of $A$ at $\pi$ (or its completion); namely

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow E_{\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $E_{\pi}$ is the pullback of $E$ along the morphism $\mathbf{P}_{A_{\pi}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{A}^{1}$. The sequence is split modulo $\pi^{k}$ if and only if $k \leq v_{\pi}(\Delta(E))$.

A question arises: whether every bundle from our classification has $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ as a subbundle globally?

In the case $A=\mathbb{Z}$, the answer is negative and has an arithmetic nature.
Theorem 2.4.3. Let $\nu \neq 0$ be a noninvertible integer, and let $\varepsilon$ be relatively prime to $\nu$. If $\varepsilon$ is not a perfect cube modulo $\nu$, then $\mathcal{O}(-1) \nsubseteq V_{i}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ for $i=1,2$.

Proof. Let $V=V_{i}(\nu, \varepsilon) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$.
Since $V$ has no jumps outside $\nu$, we can choose an isomorphism $f_{\text {gen }}: V \simeq \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus$ $\mathcal{O}(2)$ over $\mathbb{Z}\left[\nu^{-1}\right]$. Moreover, we may assume that $H^{0}\left(f_{g e n}\right)(s)=g_{1}+g_{2}$, where $g_{1} \in H^{0}(\mathcal{O}(1))$ and $g_{2} \in H^{0}(\mathcal{O}(2))$. On the other hand, there exists an isomorphism $f_{s p}: V \simeq \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(3)$ over $\mathbb{Z} /(\nu)$ that can be chosen so that $H^{0}\left(f_{s p}\right)(s)=h_{1}+h_{2}$ with $h_{1} \in H^{0}(\mathcal{O})$ and $h_{2} \in H^{0}(\mathcal{O}(3))$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq V$, that is there exists a section $s \in H^{0}(V)$, which has no zeroes on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}$. This is equivalent to the assertion that $H^{0}\left(f_{g e n}\right)(s)$ has no zeroes on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}\left[\nu^{-1}\right]$ and $H^{0}\left(f_{s p}\right)(s)$ has no zeroes on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1} \times \mathbb{Z} /(\nu)$; in addition, the existence of common zeroes of corresponding polynomials could be verified using their resultants. Thus, $s$ is nowhere zero if and only if $\operatorname{Res}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\nu^{-1}\right]^{*}$ and $\operatorname{Res}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \in(\mathbb{Z} /(\nu))^{*}$. Since $h_{1} \in H^{0}(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} /(\nu)$, the latter condition is satisfied if and only if $h_{1} \in(\mathbb{Z} /(\nu))^{*}$.

Then we fix isomorphisms $f_{g e n}$ and $f_{s p}$ defined by the following identities (see Section 1.4)

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\beta x^{1+j} & \nu \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon x^{-1} & \nu x^{1-j} \\
\alpha x^{j} & \beta x^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
\varepsilon \nu^{-1} x^{-2+j} & \nu^{-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{j} & 0 \\
0 & x^{1-j}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon x^{-1} & \nu x^{1-j} \\
\alpha x^{j} & \beta x^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varepsilon^{-1} & 0 \\
-\alpha(\beta \varepsilon)^{-1} x^{-2+j} & \beta^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & x^{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad(\bmod \nu)
$$

where $j=0,1$; we note that $\varepsilon$ and $\beta$ are invertible in $\mathbb{Z} /(\nu)$, since $\varepsilon \beta-\alpha \nu=1$.
From here, the proof is straightforward. By Lemma 2.4.4 below, it follows that $h_{1}=u_{0}(\bmod \nu)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \in(\mathbb{Z} /(\nu))^{*} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $V(-1)=V_{1}(\nu, \varepsilon)$, applying Lemma 2.4.4 and the isomorphism $f_{g e n}$, we obtain $g_{1}=\nu v_{0} t_{0}+\left(\nu v_{1}-\beta u_{1}\right) t_{1}$ and $g_{2}=u_{0} t_{0}^{2}+\nu u_{1} t_{0} t_{1}+\nu u_{2} t_{1}^{2}$. If we restrict these sections to $U_{0}$, we get the following Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}\left(\left.g_{1}\right|_{U_{0}},\left.g_{2}\right|_{U_{0}}\right)=u_{0}\left(\nu v_{1}-\beta u_{0}\right)^{2}-\nu^{2} v_{0}\left(u_{1}\left(\nu v_{1}-\beta u_{0}\right)-\nu v_{0} u_{2}\right)= \pm \nu^{l} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, but (25) implies that $l=0$. To finish the proof of the theorem in this case it remains to reduce (26) modulo $\nu$. Indeed, we have

$$
-\beta^{2} u_{0}^{3} \equiv \pm 1 \quad(\bmod \nu)
$$

and $\beta \equiv \varepsilon^{-1}(\bmod \nu)$.

When $V(-1)=V_{2}(\nu, \varepsilon)$, the argument is quite analogous to what we did above. In this case, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}\left(\left.g_{1}\right|_{U_{0}},\left.g_{2}\right|_{U_{0}}\right)=-u_{0}\left(\nu^{2} u_{2} v_{1}-u_{0}\left(\nu v_{2}-\beta u_{0}\right)\right)+\nu^{3} v_{0} u_{2}= \pm 1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the theorem, we again consider the equation (27) reduced modulo $\nu$.
Lemma 2.4.4. Let $V=V_{i}(\nu, \varepsilon) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$, where $\nu$ and $\varepsilon$ are integers as in Theorem 2.2.1, and let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\varepsilon \beta-\nu \alpha=1$. Then
$H^{0}(V)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left\{\left(u_{0} t_{0}^{2}+\nu u_{1} t_{0} t_{1}+\nu u_{2} t_{1}^{2}, v_{0} t_{0}^{3}+v_{1} t_{0}^{2} t_{1}^{3}+\beta u_{1} t_{0} t_{1}^{2}+\beta u_{2} t_{1}^{3}\right)\right\}, \quad \text { if } i=1, \\ \left\{\left(u_{0} t_{0}+\nu u_{1} t_{1}, v_{0} t_{0}^{3}+v_{1} t_{0}^{2} t_{1}+v_{2} t_{0} t_{1}^{2}+\beta u_{1} t_{1}^{3}\right)\right\}, \text { if } i=2,\end{array}\right.$
where $u_{k}, v_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}, k=0,1,2$.
Proof. Using Propositions 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 we can easily compute $H^{0}(V(1))$; indeed, every global section can be written in the form $s=\left(s_{1} e_{1}+s_{2} e_{2}\right) t_{0}$, where $e_{1}, e_{2}$ is a frame of $V$ over $U_{0}$, and $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ satisfy certain compatibility conditions. Namely, if $i=1$, then Proposition 2.4.1 provides the following condition $-\alpha s_{1} y^{2}+$ $\varepsilon s_{2} y^{3}, \beta s_{1}-\nu s_{2} y \in \mathbb{Z}[y]$, where $y=x^{-1}$. Applying Proposition 2.4.2, we obtain $-\alpha s_{1} y+\varepsilon s_{2} y^{3}, \beta s_{1}-\nu s_{2} y^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[y]$ in the case $i=2$. The lemma now follows immediately.

Remark 2.4.5. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.4.3 that the existence of integer solutions of (26) with $l=0$, and (27) is equivalent to the assertion that $\mathcal{O}(-1) \subset V_{i}(\nu, \varepsilon)$. Using a computer program we checked this for pairs $(\nu, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, such that $|\nu| \leq 30$ and $\varepsilon$ being a perfect square modulo $\nu$. In these cases, the integer solutions exist, which leads us to the natural question, if the latter condition on $\varepsilon$ is sufficient for a bundle $V_{i}(\nu, \varepsilon)$ to have $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ as a subbundle.

## 3. Binary cubic forms and subbundles

There is another approach to obtaining vector bundles with generic fiber $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and simple jumps; namely, those which have $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ as a subbundle. Moreover, given such a bundle $E$, there is a filtration

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(3) \rightarrow 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first note that every such bundle defines an element in $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{O}(3), \mathcal{O}(-2)) \simeq$ $H^{0}(\mathcal{O}(3))^{\vee}$ : that is, a binary cubic form. We are interested in obtaining a characterization of such cubic forms.

Throughout this section, we will focus our attention on the case $A=\mathbb{Z}$.
3.1. Serre duality. Let $V$ be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 2 . Consider the scheme $\mathbb{P}(V) \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1} \simeq \operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]\right)$, where $t_{0}, t_{1}$ is a basis of $V^{\vee}$ (dual to some basis $v_{0}, v_{1}$ of $V)$. As usual, $H^{0}(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{O}(i))=\Gamma(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{O}(i)) \simeq S y m^{i}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$.

According to Serre duality for projective spaces, there is a natural isomorphism $H^{1}(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{O}(-2-i)) \simeq\left(\widetilde{S y m}^{i}\left(V^{\vee}\right)\right)^{\vee}$. An explicit identification can be described as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0}^{l_{0}} t_{1}^{l_{1}} \mapsto \sum_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots m_{i}\right)} v_{m_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{m_{i}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{j}<0, l_{0}+l_{1}=-2-i$ and the sum is taken over all sequences $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{i}\right)$ that contain $-1-l_{j}$ terms equal to $j$.
3.2. Bundles associated to cubic forms. Let $(p, q, r, s) \in A^{4}$. To the section $f\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)=p t_{0}^{-4} t_{1}^{-1}+q t_{0}^{-3} t_{1}^{-2}+r t_{0}^{-2} t_{1}^{-3}+s t_{0}^{-1} t_{1}^{-4} \in H^{1}(\mathcal{O}(-5))$, we associate an isomorphism class of the bundle $E_{f}$ defined by the gluing matrix

$$
\sigma_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{-2} & \tilde{f}  \tag{30}\\
0 & x^{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{f}$ denotes the Laurent polynomial $p x^{-1}+q+r x+s x^{2}$; thus we obtain a map from $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{O}(-3), \mathcal{O}(2))$ to the set of the isomorphism classes of vector bundles admitting a filtration of the form (28). It follows immediately that $\operatorname{Det}\left(E_{f}\right) \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$; consequently the fibers of $E_{f}$ over the closed points of $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(-l) \oplus \mathcal{O}(l+1)$, where $0 \leq l \leq 2$. We can compute cohomology of $E_{f}(-1)$ to distinguish between the cases $l=0, l=1$, and $l=2$.

For every $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d \neq 0, \pm 1$, we set $X_{d}=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1} \times{ }_{\text {Spec } \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z} /(d)$.
Proposition 3.2.1. Let $E_{f}$ be as above, and let d be an integer such that $d \neq 0, \pm 1$. If $F$ is the pullback of $E_{f}(-1)$ along the morphism $X_{d} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}$, then

$$
h^{0}\left(X_{d}, F\right)=3-\operatorname{rk}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
p & q & r  \tag{31}\\
q & r & s
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Proof. To prove this, fix frames $g_{1}, g_{2}$ and $h_{1}, h_{2}$ of $F$ over the open sets $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \sigma_{f}=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \text { over } U_{01} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s$ be an element of $H^{0}\left(X_{d}, F\right)$. Then we can write $s=s_{1} g_{1}+s_{2} g_{2}$ for some polynomials $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{d}}\left(U_{0}\right)=(\mathbb{Z} /(d))[x]$. Applying (32), we see that

$$
s=\left(s_{1} x^{3}-s_{2} \tilde{f}\right) h_{1}+s_{2} x^{-2} h_{2}=\left(s_{1} y^{-3}-s_{2} \tilde{f}\right) h_{1}+s_{2} y^{2} h_{2}
$$

where $y=x^{-1}$.
Thus $s$ is a global section if and only if the polynomials $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ satisfy the following conditions:
(1) $s_{1} y^{-3}-s_{2} \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{d}}\left(U_{1}\right)=(\mathbb{Z} /(d))[y]$.
(2) $s_{2} y^{2} \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{d}}\left(U_{1}\right)=(\mathbb{Z} /(d))[y]$.

It follows immediately from the second condition that $\operatorname{deg}\left(s_{2}\right) \leq 2$. Consequently, we deduce from the first condition that $\operatorname{deg}\left(s_{1}\right) \leq 1$; moreover, coefficients of $s_{1}$ are uniquely determined by this property and coefficients of $s_{2}$. Let $s_{2}=u_{0}+u_{1} x+u_{2} x^{2}$. Then the first condition is reduced to the following system of linear equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s u_{0}+r u_{1}+q u_{2}=0  \tag{33}\\
r u_{0}+q u_{1}+p u_{2}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.
3.3. Applying the identification (29) to $f$, we obtain an element of $\left(S y m^{3} V^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}$ which can be represented by the following Bhargava cube

and the binary cubic form $C\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=p v_{0}^{3}+3 q v_{0}^{2} v_{1}+3 r v_{0} v_{1}^{3}+s v_{1}^{3}$ associated to it; see [12]. The form $C$ will play an important role in the construction below. Let $H$ be the Hessian of $C$, its covariant defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right)=\left(q^{2}-p r\right) v_{0}^{2}+(p s-q r) v_{0} v_{1}+\left(r^{2}-q s\right) v_{1}^{2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that a binary cubic form $C$ has vanishing Hessian, $H \equiv 0$, if and only if $C$ has a single triple root.
3.4. Combining Proposition 3.2 .1 with the above observations, we deduce the following results:

Proposition 3.4.1. Let $F$ be as in the statement of Proposition 3.2.1. Then

$$
F \simeq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O} \text { if } \bar{H} \not \equiv 0  \tag{35}\\
\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1) \text { if } \bar{H} \equiv 0 \text { and } \bar{C} \not \equiv 0 \\
\mathcal{O}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2) \text { if } \bar{C}, \bar{H} \equiv 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $\bar{C}, \bar{H} \in(\mathbb{Z} /(d))[x]$ denote the reductions of $C$ and $H$ modulo d, respectively.
Theorem 3.4.2. Let $E_{f}$ be a vector bundle on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}$ associated to $f \in \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{O}(3), \mathcal{O}(-2))$ in Section 3.2, and let $C=p v_{0}^{3}+3 q v_{0}^{2} v_{1}+3 r v_{0} v_{1}^{2}+s v_{1}^{3}$ be a binary cubic form with the Hessian $H$ defined in Section 3.3. Then $E_{f}$ is generically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ and its jumps have the form $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ if and only if the following conditions hold:
(1) $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q, r, s)=1$.
(2) There is an integer $d \neq 0, \pm 1$ such that the reduction of $H$ modulo $d$ is identically zero in $(\mathbb{Z} /(d))[x]$.
Moreover, $d$ satisfies the condition (2) if and only if $d \notin \mathbb{Z}^{*}$ is a non-zero divisor of $\Delta\left(E_{f}\right)$.

Proof. This is clear from the characterization of special fibers of $E_{f}$ in Proposition 3.4.1. The last assertion follows from the definition of $\Delta$ (see 2.1.2).

Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q, r, s)=1$. Thus given a binary cubic form $C=p v_{0}^{3}+$ $3 q v_{0}^{2} v_{1}+3 r v_{0} v_{1}^{3}+s v_{1}^{3}$ of discriminant $D$, its reductions modulo divisors of $D$ have double or triple roots. This data determines a vector bundle $E$ of discriminant $\Delta(E)$ such that $D=\Delta(E) \tilde{D}$ and the reduction of $C$ modulo $d$ has a triple root whenever $d$ divides $\Delta(E)$. We also note that the conditions of Theorem 3.4.2 are invariant under the $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$-equivalence of binary forms. This raises the following question: can we interpret the statement of Theorem 2.2.1 in terms of the classification of cubic forms (or equivalently, in terms of orders in cubic fields)?
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