## Solutions for exercises, Algebra I (Commutative Algebra) – Week 9

**Exercise 43.** (Noether normalization over rings, 3 points)

Notice that A, being a subring of an integral domain, is a integral domain.

Notice that A, being a subring of an integral domain, is a integral domain. By assumption there is a surjective homomorphism of A-algebras:  $f: A[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \twoheadrightarrow B$ . We can localize f with respect to the multiplicative set  $S = A \setminus \{0\}$  (i.e. tensor with Q(A)) to get a surjective homomorphism of Q(A) algebras:  $S^{-1}(f): Q(A)[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \twoheadrightarrow S^{-1}B$ . In particular,  $S^{-1}B$  is a Q(A)-algebra of finite type. Thus by Noether normalization theorem there are  $b_1, \ldots, b_k \in S^{-1}B$  such that the homomorphism of Q(A)-algebras  $g: Q(A)[X_1, \ldots, X_k] \to S^{-1}B, X_i \mapsto \frac{b_i}{a_i}$  gives an isomorphism  $Q(A)[X_1, \ldots, X_k] \simeq Q(A)[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \ldots, \frac{b_k}{a_k}]$  and  $S^{-1}B$  is a finite  $Q(A)[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \ldots, \frac{b_k}{a_k}]$ -module. In particular  $S^{-1}B$  is integral over  $Q(A)[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \ldots, \frac{b_k}{a_k}]$ . Set  $c_i = f(x_i)$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . As  $S^{-1}B$  is integral over  $Q(A)[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \ldots, \frac{b_k}{a_k}]$ , for any  $i, \frac{c_i}{1} \in S^{-1}B$  is annihilated by a (monic) polynomial  $P \in Q(A)[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \ldots, \frac{b_k}{a_k}]$ . is annihilated by a (monic) polynomial  $P_{c_i} \in Q(A)[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \dots, \frac{b_k}{a_k}][x]$ . If  $0 \neq a \in A$  is the pro-Is annihilated by a (mone) polynomial  $r_{c_i} \in Q(n)_{[a_1}, \ldots, a_k][x]$ . If  $0 \neq a \in A$  is the product of  $(a_1 \cdots a_k)^d$  (where  $d = \max_i (\deg(P_{c_i}))$ ) by the product of all the denominators of the coefficients of the  $P_i$ 's, we have that  $0 \neq aP_{c_i} \in A[b_1, \ldots, b_k][x]$  and  $aP_{c_i}(c_i) = 0$ . Then  $P_{c_i} \in A_a[b_1, \ldots, b_k][x]$  for any *i* i.e.  $c_i$  is integral over  $A_a[b_1, \ldots, b_k]$  for any *i* i.e.  $A_a[b_1, \ldots, b_k][c_1, \ldots, c_n]$  is a finite  $A_a[b_1, \ldots, b_k]$ -module. Tensoring *f* with  $A_a$ , we see that  $A_a[c_1, \ldots, c_n] = B \otimes_A A_a \simeq B_a$ ; a fortiori  $A_a[b_1, \ldots, b_k][c_1, \ldots, c_n] \simeq B_a$ . Thus  $B_a$  is integral over  $A_a[b_1, \ldots, b_k]$  and since  $\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \ldots, \frac{b_k}{a_k}$  were algebraically independent over Q(A),  $b_1, \ldots, b_k$  are algebraically independent over A (indeed, because A is an integral domain,  $\log(A[V_a] = V_a] \otimes A[b_1, \ldots, b_k] \otimes \log(Q(A)[b_1] = \frac{b_k}{b_1})$ .  $\ker(A[X_1,\ldots,X_k]\to A[b_1,\ldots,b_k])\hookrightarrow \ker(Q(A)[X_1,\ldots,X_k]\to Q(A)[\frac{b_1}{a_1},\ldots,\frac{b_k}{a_k}]) = \{0\}).$ 

## **Exercise 44.** (Finite type $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras are Jacobson, 3 points)

Notice first that the quotient of a Jacobson ring is Jacobson: indeed the ideals of  $A/\mathfrak{a}$  correspond exactly to the ideals of A containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . So if  $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{a})$  then  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}^c \in V(\mathfrak{a})$  can be written  $\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec}(A)} \mathfrak{m}$ ; thus passing to the quotient we get  $\mathfrak{q} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec}(A)} \mathfrak{m}$ (since  $A/\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a} \simeq A/\mathfrak{m}$  a field).

Assume first that B is integral over A and (A Jacobson). By the above observation, we can assume that  $A \subset B$  with A Jacobson and B integral over A. Let  $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec}(B)$  (not maximal) and  $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \ni \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}^c = A \cap \mathfrak{q}$  (not maximal neither by the 4<sup>th</sup> step of the proof of the Going-up theorem). By hypothesis  $\mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec}(A)} \mathfrak{m}$ . Since B is integral over A, by the Going-up theorem, for any  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  there is a  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{Spec}(B)$  such that  $\mathfrak{n} \cap A = \mathfrak{m}$ . By the first step of the proof the Going-up theorem,  $B/\mathfrak{n}$  is integral over  $A/\mathfrak{m}$ ; and by the third step of the same proof, since  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a field,  $B/\mathfrak{n}$  is also a field i.e. such a  $\mathfrak{n}$  is maximal. Set  $\mathfrak{b} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec}(B), \ \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{n} \ \text{and} \ \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{n} \cap A \in \operatorname{MaxSpec}(A)} \mathfrak{n} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec}(B), \ \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n}$  (by the 4<sup>th</sup>-step of the Going-up theorem  $\mathfrak{n} \cap A$  is maximal). We have  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \cap A = \cap_{\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec}(B), \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n} \cap A =$  $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec}(A)} \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap A$ . We adapt the proof of the 5<sup>th</sup> step of the proof of the Going-up to conclude that  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{b} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec}(B), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}} \mathfrak{n}$ . Thus B is Jacobson.

Let us prove this characterization of Jacobson ring: A is Jacobson if and only if for any prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  for which there is a  $0 \neq a \in A/\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $(A/\mathfrak{p})_a$  is a field, then  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field: assume A is Jacobson. Then  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is an integral domain which is Jacobson (first remark). If

Solutions to be handed in before Tuesday June 15, 4pm.

 $(A/\mathfrak{p})_a$  is a field we have  $(0) = \operatorname{Spec}((A/\mathfrak{p})_a) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{p}), a \notin \mathfrak{q}\}$  so if  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  contains a non-zero prime ideal we have  $a \in \bigcap_{(0)\neq\mathfrak{q}}\mathfrak{q}$  but since  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is Jacobson (and an integral domain)  $\bigcap_{(0)\neq\mathfrak{q}}\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{N}_{A/\mathfrak{p}} = (0)$  i.e. a = 0. Contradiction. So  $\operatorname{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{p}) = (0)$  i.e.  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field.

Conversely if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ , denote  $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{MaxSpec}(A)} \mathfrak{m}$ . If  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ , pick a  $a \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ ; let us consider a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  which is maximal among those containing  $\mathfrak{p}$  and not containing a. By definition of  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{q}$  is not a maximal ideal of A but  $\{a^n, n \ge 0\}^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$  is a maximal ideal of  $A_a$ . So  $A_a/\{a^n, n \ge 0\}^{-1}\mathfrak{q} \simeq (A/\mathfrak{q})_a$  is a field. Thus  $A/\mathfrak{q}$  is a field i.e.  $\mathfrak{q}$  is maximal. Contradiction. So  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{a}$ .

Let us prove that if A is Jacobson then any ring which is generated by one element as a A-algebra (i.e. a quotient of A[x]) is also Jacobson: let  $C = A[x]/\mathfrak{a}$  be such a ring and let  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \operatorname{Spec}(A[x])$ , and consider the quotient homomorphism  $f: C \to C/\mathfrak{p} \simeq A[x]/\mathfrak{p}$ . We must show that if  $0 \neq a \in A[x]/\mathfrak{p}$  is such that  $(A/\mathfrak{p})_a$  is a field then  $(A/\mathfrak{p})$  is also a field. Let us denote  $B = f(A) \subset A[x]/\mathfrak{p}$ . By the first remark B is Jacobson and an integral domain (as subring of an integral domain) so  $\cap_{\mathfrak{m}\in\operatorname{MaxSpec}(B)}\mathfrak{m} = (0)$ . Look at  $B[x] \twoheadrightarrow A[x]/\mathfrak{p} (x \mapsto \overline{x})$ . If it is an isomorphism, and if  $0 \neq a \in A[x]/\mathfrak{p}$  is such that  $(A/\mathfrak{p})_a$  is a field, then  $B[x]_{\overline{a}}$  is a field. But then  $Q(B)[x]_{\overline{a}}$  is also a field. But looking at the description of the prime ideals of the principal ideal domain Q(B)[x] we see that it is Jacobson; thus the fact that  $Q(B)[x]_{\overline{a}}$  is a field implies that Q(B)[x] is a field. Contradiction. So  $B[x] \twoheadrightarrow A[x]/\mathfrak{p}$  is not an isomorphism and  $A[x]/\mathfrak{p} \simeq B[x]/\mathfrak{q}$  for a non-zero prime ideal  $(\mathfrak{q} = \ker(B[x] \twoheadrightarrow A[x]/\mathfrak{p})$  and  $A[x]/\mathfrak{p}$  is an integral domain). If  $0 \neq a \in B[x]/\mathfrak{q}$  is such that  $(B[x]/\mathfrak{q})_a$  is a field. If  $g \in \mathfrak{q}$  is a non-zero polynomial with leading coefficient  $d \in B$ , then  $\overline{x}$  is integral over

If  $g \in \mathfrak{q}$  is a non-zero polynomial with leading coefficient  $d \in B$ , then  $\overline{x}$  is integral over  $B_d$ . So  $B[x]/\mathfrak{q}$  is integral over  $B_d$ . In particular as  $a \in B[x]/\mathfrak{q}$ , there is a monic polynomial  $h = y^n + h_1 y^{n-1} + \cdots + h_{n-1} \in B_d[y]$  (with  $h(0) \neq 0$  because B is an integral domain) such that h(a) = 0. So dividing by  $h_{n-1}a^n$  we find  $a^{-n} + \frac{h_{n-2}}{h_{n-1}}a^{-(n-1)} + \cdots + \frac{1}{h_{n-1}} = 0$  i.e.  $a^{-1}$  is integral over  $B_{h_{n-1}d}$ . So  $(B[x]/\mathfrak{q})_a$  is integral over  $B_{h_{n-1}d}$ . By the  $3^{rd}$  step of the proof of the Going-up theorem,  $B_{h_{n-1}d}$  is also a field. But since B is Jacobson, (and (0) is prime) B is a field. In particular  $B = B_{hn-1d}$ . So  $B[x]/\mathfrak{q} \subset (B[x]/\mathfrak{q})_a$  (since  $B[x]/\mathfrak{q}$  is an integral domain) is integral over the field B. Again by the  $3^{rd}$  step of the proof of the Going-up theorem,  $B[x]/\mathfrak{q} \simeq A[x]/\mathfrak{p}$  is Jacobson. In particular  $(0) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}\in MaxSpec}(A[x]/\mathfrak{p})\mathfrak{m}$  i.e.  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathfrak{m}\in MaxSpec}(C)\mathfrak{m}$ .

For an A-algebra generated by finitely many elements, we proceed by induction.

## **Exercise 45.** (Finite fields, 3 points)

Assume k is a field which is a finitely generated Z-algebra. If the natural homomorphism is injective  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow k$  then by the universal property of localization with have a field extension  $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow k$  and k is a fortiori a Q-algebra of finite type. By Noether normalization, there are a  $\ell \geq 0$  and an injective homomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_\ell] \hookrightarrow k$  such that k is a finite  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_\ell]$ . By Corollary 11.11 k is integral over  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_\ell]$ . By the  $3^{rd}$  step of the proof of the Going-up theorem  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_\ell]$  is a field i.e.  $\ell = 0$ . Thus k is a finite field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (i.e. a number field).

Let us prove that a number field cannot be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra: let  $f : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to k$  be a ring homomorphism and let us denote  $\alpha_i = f(x_i) \in k$ . Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be the product of all the denominators of the minimal polynomials of  $\alpha_i$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then the minimal polynomials of the  $\alpha_i$ 's are in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[x]$  i.e. k is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ . So by the  $3^{rd}$  step of the proof of the Going-up theorem  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$  is a field; which is impossible (any prime not dividing  $\ell$  is not invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ ).

So the homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \to k$  is not injective; thus there is a prime number p > 0, such that the homomorphism factors through  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . So k is in particular a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra of finite type. By Noether normalization k is a finite module over a polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , in particular it is integral over a polynomial ring. Again by the  $3^{rd}$  step of the proof of the Going-up theorem, k is a finite field extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  i.e. a finite field. **Exercise 46.** (Family of polynomials without common zeros, 3 points) Using Remark 12.11: since  $Z((f_1, \ldots, f_k)) = \emptyset$  we have  $\sqrt{(f_1, \ldots, f_k)} = I(Z((f_1, \ldots, f_k))) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . So  $1 \in \sqrt{(f_1, \ldots, f_k)}$  i.e.  $1^n = 1 \in (f_1, \ldots, f_k) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . If  $(f_1, \ldots, f_k) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  we are done. So we can assume that  $(f_1, \ldots, f_k) \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  there is a maximal ideal  $(f_1, \ldots, f_k) \subset \mathfrak{m}$  containing it. We have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathfrak{m} \to \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \to k \to 0$$

where k is the quotient field. The sequence also shows that k is finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra hence, by the previous exercise, k is a finite field, of characteristic, say p > 0. Since  $\mathbb{C}$  is a flat  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra (we have seen that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and  $\mathbb{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space (i.e. a free  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module)), we have  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = (f_1, \ldots, f_k) \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . So we get  $(f_1, \ldots, f_k) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  thus any element of  $\mathfrak{m}/(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$  is annihilated by an integers.

Now,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is sent to 0 in k i.e.  $p \in \mathfrak{m}$ . As  $\mathfrak{m}/(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$  is torsion, there is a  $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , such that  $0 \neq dp \in (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$ ; which proves the result.

The result does not hold if  $\mathbb{C}$  is replaced by  $\mathbb{R}$ : for example  $x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  has no real zero but the principal ideal  $(x^2 + 1)$  does not contain a non-zero integer (for degree reason).

**Exercise 47.** (Noether normalization via linear projections, 4 points) We notice that when x is fixed x = a, the system of equations  $y - z^2 = 0$ ;  $az - y^2 = 0$  transforms into  $y - z^2 = 0$ ;  $(a - z^3)z = 0$  which admits finitely many solutions. So let us consider the projection on the x-axis.

Let us denote  $A = k[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{a}$  and consider the composition  $f : k[x] \to A$  of the inclusion  $k[x] \hookrightarrow k[x, y, z]$  and the canonical projection  $k[x, y, z] \twoheadrightarrow k[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{a}$ .

 $k[x] \hookrightarrow k[x, y, z]$  and the canonical projection  $k[x, y, z] \rightharpoonup k[x, y, z] \mu$ . If  $P \in \ker(f)$  then  $P \in (y - z^2, xz - y^2)$  i.e.  $P = (y - z^2)p(x, y, z) + (xz - y^2)q(x, y, z)$  for some  $p, q \in k[x, y, z]$ . But looking at y = 0 = z we get P = 0 i.e. f is injective. We claim that  $1, z, z^2, z^3$  generate A as a k[x]-module: because of the surjection  $k[x][y, z] \twoheadrightarrow A$ ,

We claim that  $1, z, z^2, z^3$  generate A as a k[x]-module: because of the surjection  $k[x][y, z] \twoheadrightarrow A$ , y, z generate A as a k[x]-algebra. In  $A, \overline{y} = \overline{z}^2$  thus  $\overline{z}$  generates A as a k[x]-algebra. Moreover  $\overline{z}^4 = \overline{xz}$  in A; thus any polynomial  $p \in k[x, y, z]$  is in the class modulo  $\mathfrak{a}$  of a polynomial whose monomials are of the form  $x^k z^i, k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ; which proves the claim.

So A is a finite k[x]-algebra and as such it is integral over k[x] (Corollary 11.11). So by the Going-up theorem (Theorem 11.33),  $\varphi : \operatorname{Spec}(A) = V(\mathfrak{a}) \to \operatorname{Spec}(k[x]) = \mathbb{A}_k^1$  is surjective and by Remark 11.35 (i) it is closed (alternatively remark that  $\varphi$  has the going-up property by going-up theorem and since A is Noetherian (as quotient of the Noetherian ring k[x, y, z]), Exercise 38 yields that  $\varphi$  is closed).

For  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(k[x])$ , we have seen in (the solution of) Exercise 37 (ii) that the fiber  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ of  $\varphi$  over  $\mathfrak{p}$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Spec}(A \otimes_{k[x]} Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p}))$ . Since A is a finite k[x]-module (i.e. there is a surjective homomorphism of k[x]-modules  $k[x]^4 \to A$ ),  $A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$  is a finite  $Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$ -algebra in particular  $A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$  is a finite-dimensional  $Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space.

Any prime ideal of  $A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$  is maximal: a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p}))$  is in particular a  $Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$ -vector subspace of  $A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$  so the integral domain  $B = A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{q}$  is also a finite-dimensional  $Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space (as quotient of finitedimensional vector space). Now take  $x \in B \setminus \{0\}$  and consider the  $Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$ -linear map  $m_x : B \to B, b \mapsto bx$ . Since B is an integral domain,  $m_x$  is injective and since B is finitedimensional, the linear map  $m_x$  is also surjective. In particular  $1 \in \operatorname{im}(m_x)$  i.e. there is a  $y \in B$  such that yx = 1 i.e. x is a unit. So B is a field i.e.  $\mathfrak{q}$  is maximal.

As  $A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$  is a finite-dimensional  $Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space (and ideals of  $A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$  are in particular  $Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$ -vector subspaces),  $A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$  is Noetherian. So as seen in (solution for Exercise 38) Spec $(A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p}))$  can be written as a finite union Spec $(A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V(\mathfrak{q}_i)$  where  $\mathfrak{q}_i \in \text{Spec}(A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p}))$ . Since any prime ideal in  $A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})$  is maximal we get  $\text{Spec}(A \otimes Q(k[x]/\mathfrak{p})) = \{\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_n\}$  i.e. any fiber of  $\varphi$  is finite.

Exercise 48. (Valuation rings, 3 points)

- 1. Since A is a subring of a field, it is an integral domain and since  $A \subset K$  the universal property of localization gives the inclusions  $A \subset Q(A) \subset K$ . Now let  $a \in K \subset L$ ; then either  $a \in B$ , in which case  $a \in B \cap K = A$ , or  $a^{-1} \in B$ , in which case  $a^{-1} \in B \cap K = A$ . Since  $Q(A) \subset K$ , this proves that the same property holds for Q(A) i.e. that A is a valuation ring. It also proves that  $Q(A) \subset K$  is surjective (hence an isomorphism) since if  $a \in K \setminus A$  then  $a^{-1} \in A$ ; so  $a = (a^{-1})^{-1} \in Q(A)$ .
- 2. Assume A is a field and L/K is algebraic. By the first question we get A = Q(A) = K. In particular  $K \subset B$ . Let  $b \in B$ ; as  $b^{-1} \in L$  is algebraic over K, take  $f(x) = x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1} \in K[x] \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $f(b^{-1}) = 0$ . Taking the product of the equality  $b^{-n} = -(a_1b^{-(n-1)} + \cdots + a_{n-1}) \in L$  by  $b^{n-1}$ , we get  $b^{-1} = -(a_1 + a_2b + \cdots + a_{n-1}b^{n-1})$  i.e.  $(K \subset B) \ b^{-1} \in B$ . Therefore B is a field.